

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,702	09/19/2003	Ramanujan K. Valmiki	17440US02	1993
23446	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			SAJOUS, WESNER	
500 WEST M SUITE 3400	IADISON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,			2628	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
		10/666,702	VALMIKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Sajous Wesner	2628				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	n.					
,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) 3-8 and 11-16 is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2003 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig □ All b □ Some * c □ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applica					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ved in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure						
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
		A-13	3·05, 8-26 <b>-</b> 05, 1-23-06				
Attachme		H 1-25-05/7-16	(20)				
1) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ry (PTO-413) Date				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 110 / 6 - 0464 8-9-04						
/ Pap	per No(s)/Mail Date 1101, 6 - 0464, 8-7-09,	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					

Application/Control Number: 10/666,702

Art Unit: 2628

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a first office action. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolyn (US 6233665) in view of Mills (US 5923385).

Considering claim 1, Bolyn discloses a method of accessing a memory (136, fig. 1) having one or more banks (124-126, fig. 1), each bank having one or more rows, for processing data (see col. 6, lines 10-26), the method comprises requesting (112, fig. 1) a memory controller (114, fig. 1) to transfer the data used for processing; and determining in the memory controller (114 fig. 2) which of said rows for which of said banks are to be prepared with a row address select (RAS) operation, so as to efficiently transfer the data (col. 6, lines 56-64).

What is lacking by Bolyn is a method of accessing a memory for processing and transferring of MPEG video data.

Mills discloses accessing a memory (56, fig. 1) for processing and transferring of MPEG video data. See col. 10, lines 24-38.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,702 Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the data memory system of Bolyn to include the processing and transferring of MPEG video data via a memory in the same conventional manner as taught by Mills, in order to reduce memory size and improve bandwidth requirement.

Re claim 2, Bolyn discloses a minimum number of wasted clocks (via bank access control block 368, fig. 3) can be realized through the determining step in the memory controller (311 of fig. 3A).

System claim 9 contains features that are analogous to and performs the same function as method claim 1. As the limitations of claim 1 have been found obvious over the combined teaching of Bolyn and Mills, it is readily apparent the applied prior art perform the underlying elements. As such, the limitations of claim 9 are therefore rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Claim 10 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 2.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-8, and 11-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, because the prior art of record fails to teach a maximum burst efficiency can be achieved through determining which of the rows for which of the banks are to be prepared with the RAS operation in the memory controller (as recited in claims 3 & 11); the memory controller tailors a

Application/Control Number: 10/666,702

Art Unit: 2628

sequence of transferring the MPEG video data to improve transfer efficiency (as recited in claims 4 and 12).

### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- I. Rao (US 6256256) discloses a dual port random access memory that includes an array of rows and columns of memory cells.
- II. Kengeri (US 6442098) discloses a high performance multi-bank compact synchronous DRAM architecture having distributed memory bank segments logically coupled to form a virtual memory bank.
- III. Bart et al. (US 200210042182) discloses an asynchronous request/synchronous data dynamic random access memory for transferring information within a computer system.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajous Wesner whose telephone number is 571-272-7791. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Fridays between 10:30 and 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on 571-272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,702

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wesner Sajous

9/26/06